UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,751	05/18/2006	Renato Rimondi	P08932US00/MP	3029	
881 STITES & HAI	7590 02/26/2008 RBISON PLLC		EXAMINER		
	FAIRFAX STREET	CAMPBELL, THOR S			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/579,75		RIMONDI, RENATO			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Thor S. Ca	ampbell	3742			
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ddress		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)[Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon the closed in t	This action is nallowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application (s) is/are with above claim(s) is/are with above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Extra properties of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) [Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	vithdrawn from contact and/or election rectaminer. accepted or b) at the drawing(s) become correction is require	equirement. ☐ objected to by the se held in abeyance. Se sed if the drawing(s) is objected in a beyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	• •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 1, on which all other claims depend, calls for at least two offset internal channels having essentially equal coefficients of heat exchange, however, applicant has not described in the specification how to calculate this coefficient of heat exchange for each of the channels. Without a knowledge of how this is calculated, one of skill in the art would not be able to make a device within the scope of the claim. Similarly, claim 1 requires that forced hot air produced by the generator can be sent the the appliance...while maintaining unchanged heat exchange conditions. The specification does not adequately describe what is meant by "unchanged heat exchange conditions", thereby preventing one of skill from being able to make a device consistent with the claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention. Claim 1, on which all other claims depend, calls for at least two offset internal channels having essentially equal coefficients of heat exchange, however, applicant has not described in the specification how to calculate this coefficient of heat exchange for each of the channels. Without a knowledge of how this is calculated, one of skill in the art would not be able to ascertain the scope of the claim.

Where the metes and bounds of a claim are indefinite, it is improper to base a rejection on speculation as to the meaning of the claim. <u>In re Steele</u>, 305 F.2d 858, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962). Specifically, unclear claims are indefinite, not obvious. <u>In re Wilson</u>, 424 F.2d 1382, 185 USPQ 494 (CCPA 1970). The claims are not in condition for treatment with respect to the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. It is noted that the claim requires that the composite body be externally insulated so as to not leak heat to the external environment. Where as perfect insulators are unknown, the invention cannot operate as claimed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/579,751 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thor S. Campbell whose telephone number is 571-272-4776. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 5:30AM-2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thor S. Campbell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742 Application/Control Number: 10/579,751

Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

TSC